

Standards Committee - Consideration Sub-Committee

Monday, 8th March, 2010

PRESENT:

Independent Members

Mike Wilkinson (Chair)

Councillors

D Blackburn C Campbell

Parish Members

Councillor Paul Cook

12 Appeals against refusal of inspection of documents

There were no appeals against refusal of inspection of documents in accordance with Procedure Rule 25 of the Access to Information Procedure Rules.

13 Exempt Information - Possible Exclusion of the Press and Public

In relation to agenda item 5 (Minute 16 refers), Appendix 1 (the final report and bundle of evidence of the investigating officer in relation to an investigation into a complaint against a Member), was classified as exempt under Access to Information Procedure Rule 10.4 (7c). Members of the Sub-Committee agreed that the public interest in maintaining the exemption outweighed the public interest in disclosing the information, as the matter relates to a sensitive neighbour dispute which could be aggravated if the contents of the report were made public.

RESOLVED – That the press and public be excluded from the meeting during consideration of the following parts of the agenda designated as containing exempt information on the grounds that it is likely, in view of the nature of the business to be transacted or the nature of the proceedings, that if members of the press and public were present there would be disclosure to them of exempt information, as follows:-

- Appendix 1 of agenda item 5 (Minute 16 refers).

14 Late Items

There were no late items submitted to the agenda by the Chair for consideration.

15 Declarations of Interest

There were no declarations of personal/prejudicial interests for the purpose of section 81(3) of the Local Government Act 2000 and paragraphs 8 to 12 of the Members' Code of Conduct.

16 Final Investigation Report - Case Reference 0910004

The Assistant Chief Executive (Corporate Governance) submitted a report setting out the findings of the Investigating Officer in a Code of Conduct investigation into a complaint against a Member. The investigation followed the submission of a complaint to the Assessment Sub-Committee, who had resolved to refer part of the complaint for investigation.

The Investigating Officer was present at the meeting to present her findings and to respond to any questions from Members.

Members agreed that through their actions, the Councillor had not:

- brought their office or authority into disrepute;
- failed to treat others with respect;
- acted in such a way which compromised or was likely to compromise the impartiality of those who work for, or on behalf of, the authority; or
- used their position improperly to confer on or secure an advantage or disadvantage for themselves or others.

Members therefore agreed to accept the Investigating Officer's finding that there had been no failure to comply with the Code of Conduct.

RESOLVED – Members of the Consideration Sub-Committee resolved to accept the Investigating Officer's finding of no failure.